

www.nortelnetworks.com

J. Erik Fako Senior Counsel



4006 E. Highway 54 Network Center 2, MS D16/02/0E2 Durham, North Carolina 27713 Tel 919.997.4453 Fax 919.997.6659

Fax 919.997.6659 efako@nortelnetworks.com

January 7, 2002

Box AF Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231-9999 JAN 3 0 2002

Technology Center 2600

Re:

U. S. Patent Application No. 09/102,016

Filed: June 22, 1998 Docket No. RN1105

Entitled: TREATMENTS IN A DISTRIBUTED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

Inventor: Samuel H. CHRISTIE, IV

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is a RESPONSE under 37 C.F.R. 1.116 in reply to the Final Office Action dated November 5, 2001 in the subject application. If for any reason this RESPONSE is found to be INCOMPLETE, or if at any time it appears that a TELEPHONE CONFERENCE with counsel would help advance prosecution, please telephone the undersigned collect at (919) 997-4453.

If any payment during prosecution is found to be insufficient, please charge any such deficiency to Deposit Account No. 50-0873.

Kindly acknowledge receipt of the foregoing by returning the enclosed self-addressed postcard.

Sincerely,

U. Erik Fako

Reg. No. 42,522

JEF:rs

Enclosures: ➤ RESPONSE under 37 C.F.R. 1.116

➤ Certificate of First Class Mailing

>Return Receipt Postcard



#14

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Samuel H. Christie, IV) Docket No.: RN1105

ý

Application No.: 09/102,016) Group No.: 2663

Filed: June 22, 1998) Examiner: A. Boakye

For: TREATMENTS IN A DISTRIBUTED)

COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

Box AF Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

JAN 3 0 2002

RESPONSE UNDER 37.CFR§ 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

RESI CHOL SHOLK STOLKS THE EXILED FROCEDORE

Sir:

This Response is in reply to the Final Office Action dated November 5, 2001.

Applicant respectfully requests reexamination and reconsideration in view of the following remarks.

REMARKS

The Applicant appreciates the Examiner's thorough examination of the subject application and requests reconsideration in view of the following remarks.

Claims 61-120 stand rejected under 35 USC § 103 as being obvious over